



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,435	09/25/2003	Vivian A. Wright	127000.0201	5536
7590	09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Pepper Hamilton LLP Firm 21269 50th Floor 500 Grant Street, One Mellon Center Pittsburgh, PA 15219			BOUCHELLE, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,435	WRIGHT, VIVIAN A.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura A. Bouchelle	3763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ~~11805~~ 12/22/03, 11805, 4/27/06

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-9, 11, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hampton et al (US 20030028148). Hampton discloses a tubing holder and stabilizer comprising a front side having a left section 112, a right section, 113, and a middle section 114, the right section and left section being covered by VELCRO®, a back side having an adhesive section 118 and a non-adhesive section, the middle section and back section being formed of cotton (paragraphs 0021, 0022, 0027, 0028). See Fig. 6. The adhesive may cover the entire adhesive section, or include one or more strips of adhesive on the adhesive section (paragraph 0027). The adhesive is covered by a protective cover (paragraph 0027).

3. Hampton discloses a method of applying the tube holder including the steps of removing the protective covering from the adhesive, adhering the back of the holder to the recipient, placing the tube in the middle section of the holder, and affixing the refastenable material of the right side to the refastenable material of the left side (paragraph 0030).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton in view of McGuire (US 4726716). Claim 10 differs from Hampton in calling for a notch in the first portion of the non-adhesive section. McGuire teaches notches removed from the non-adhesive section 27 to facilitate gripping of the portion as it is folded over to mate with the opposing side of the fastener (Col. 3, lines 3-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Hampton to include a notch as taught by McGuire to facilitate gripping of the portion as it is folded over to mate with the opposing side of the fastener.

6. Claims 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton in view of McKenzie (US 5546938). Claims 14 and 15 differ from Hampton in calling for a method of removing the device. McKenzie teaches a tube holder similar to that of Hampton and further teaches a method of removing the tube including the steps of unfastening the refastenable material on either side of the tube, and removing the tube from the fastener so that it can then be removed from the patient (Col. 4, lines 49-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Hampton to include the

method for removal as taught by McKenzie so that the tube can be removed from the patient after treatment is complete.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


NICHOLAS D. LUCCESI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

LAB

Laura A Bouchelle
Examiner
Art Unit 3763